

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,345	10/656,345 09/05/2003		Pamela L. Plouhar	. 265280-73406	7805
23643	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
BARNES &	,		STEWART, ALVIN J		
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
21.2.1.1.1	,·			3738	
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/656,345	PLOUHAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 and 16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) 10 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8, 10-12, 14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 17</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050822					

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on June 13, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language, or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vacanti et al US Patent 6,027,744.

Vacanti et al discloses a prosthetic device comprising an extracellular matrix portion (14) having a solidify hydrogen composition (16) with live cells (18) and a synthetic mesh portion (12) coupled to the extracellular portion (see col. 11, lines 1-20). The synthetic material and the extracellular matrix portion are biodegradable (see col. 5, lines 21-24; and col. 7, lines 10-11). Additionally, the synthetic fiber is made of Vycryl (see col. 6, lines 1-4). Regarding the extracellular matrix, the claims disclosed above are given their broadest reasonable

interpretation. In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974). For the above reasons, the Examiner has used only a prosthetic device having tissue precursor cells.

Regarding the new limitations in claim 1, it is an inherent characteristic of biocompatible polymeric struts (12) to have a rate of absorption slower than the extracellular matrix. It has been held that a claim is anticipated if each element of the claim is found, either expressly described or under principles of inherency, in a single prior art reference, or that the claimed invention was previously known or embodied in a single prior art device or practice. Kalman vs. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 789.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buirge et al US Patent 5,693,085.

Buirge et al discloses a bioprosthetic device comprising a sheet of naturally occurring extracellular matrix and a sheet of synthetic mesh coupled to the matrix. The matrix is a sheet of SIS and the device is a top tissue layer of naturally occurring extracellular matrix and is coupled to a bottom tissue layer of naturally occurring matrix and the sheet of synthetic mesh is coupled to and positioned between the two layers (see Fig. 7; col. 4, lines 6-24; and col. 5, lines 10-15).

Regarding the new limitations in claim 1, it is an inherent characteristic of biocompatible polymeric struts (see col. 3, lines 36-40) to have a rate of absorption slower than the extracellular matrix. It has been held that a claim is anticipated if each element of the claim is found, either expressly described or under principles of inherency, in a single prior art reference, or that the claimed invention was previously known or embodied in a single prior art device or practice. Kalman vs. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 789.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,345

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

Page 4

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 10 is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER

A. U. 3738

April 01, 2005.